



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/781,499

02/18/2004

Michel Chateau

34076/US

6087

25763

7590

06/27/2008

DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP  
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT  
SUITE 1500  
50 SOUTH SIXTH STREET  
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-1498

EXAMINER

SHAHNAN SHAH, KHATOL S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1645

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

06/27/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|                          |   |                                       |  |
|--------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/781,499      | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>CHATEAU ET AL. |  |
|                          | <b>Examiner</b><br>Khatol S. Shahnan-Shah | <b>Art Unit</b><br>1645               |  |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Khatol S. Shahnan-Shah.

(3) Philippe Soucaille ( Inventor).

(2) Shanon Foley (SPE).

(4) Colin Fairman and Franck Tetaz attorneys.

Date of Interview: 23 June 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☒ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: Invention explained by the inventor..

Claim(s) discussed: All.

Identification of prior art discussed: Yes, Nakomori.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: New claim language discussed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Shanon A. Foley/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, AU 1645

/Khatol S Shahnan-Shah/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1645

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an  
Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required